arXiv:1611.02326v1 [math.CO] 7 Nov 2016

ON BIPARTITE DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS WITH EXACTLY TWO IRREDUCIBLE T-MODULES WITH ENDPOINT TWO

Mark S. MacLean
Mathematics Department
Seattle University
901 Twelfth Avenue
Seattle WA 98122-1090, USA
macleanm@seattleu.edu

Štefko Miklavič*
University of Primorska
Andrej Marušič Institute
Muzejski trg 2
6000 Koper, Slovenia
stefko.miklavic@upr.si

November 23, 2016

Abstract

Let Γ denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 4$ and valency $k \geq 3$. Let X denote the vertex set of Γ, and let A denote the adjacency matrix of Γ. For $x \in X$ let $T = T(x)$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ generated by $A, E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_D^*$, where for $0 \leq i \leq D$, E_i^* represents the projection onto the ith subconstituent of Γ with respect to x. We refer to T as the *Terwilliger algebra* of Γ with respect to x. An irreducible T-module W is said to be *thin* whenever $\dim E_i^* W \leq 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$. By the *endpoint* of W we mean $\min\{i | E_i^* W \neq 0\}$. For $0 \leq i \leq D$, let $\Gamma_i(z)$ denote the set of vertices in X that are distance i from vertex z. Define a parameter Δ_2 in terms of the intersection numbers by $\Delta_2 = (k-2)(c_3-1) - (c_2-1)p_{22}^2$. In this paper we prove the following are equivalent: (i) $\Delta_2 > 0$ and for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars α_i, β_i with the following property: for all $x, y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 2$, $\partial(x,z) = i$, $\partial(y,z) = i$ we have $\alpha_i + \beta_i |\Gamma_1(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma_1(z)|$; (ii) For all $x \in X$ there exist up to isomorphism exactly two irreducible modules for the Terwilliger algebra $T(x)$ with endpoint two, and these modules are thin.

1 Introduction

In this paper we obtain a combinatorial characterization of bipartite distance-regular graphs with exactly two irreducible modules of the Terwilliger algebra of endpoint 2, both

*Supported in part by ARRS - Javna agencija za raziskovalno dejavnost Republike Slovenija, program no. P1-0285.

of which are thin (see Section 2 for formal definitions). Our combinatorial characterization is closely related to the 2-homogeneous property of Curtin [3] and Nomura [14].

Throughout this introduction let Γ denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 4$ and valency $k \geq 3$. Let X denote the vertex set of Γ. For $x \in X$, let $T = T(x)$ denote the Terwilliger algebra of Γ with respect to x. It is known that there exists a unique irreducible T-module with endpoint 0, and this module is thin [8, Proposition 8.4]. Moreover, Curtin showed that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly one irreducible T-module with endpoint 1, and this module is thin [4, Corollary 7.7].

We now discuss the irreducible T-modules of endpoint 2. For $0 \leq i \leq D$, let $\Gamma_i(z)$ denote the set of vertices in X that are distance i from vertex z. In [7, Theorem 3.11], Curtin proved that the following are equivalent: (i) For all i $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ and for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $\partial(x,y) = 2, \partial(x,z) = i, \partial(y,z) = i$, the number $|\Gamma_1(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)|$ is independent of x, y, z; (ii) For all $x \in X$ there exists a unique irreducible T-module for the Terwilliger algebra $T(x)$ with endpoint 2, and this module is thin. When these equivalent conditions hold, Γ is said to be *almost 2-homogeneous.*

Now define a parameter Δ_2 in terms of the intersection numbers by $\Delta_2 = (k-2)(c_3 - 1) - (c_2 - 1)p_{22}^2$. In this paper we prove the following are equivalent: (i) $\Delta_2 > 0$ and for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars α_i, β_i with the following property: for all $x, y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 2$, $\partial(x,z) = i$, $\partial(y,z) = i$ we have $\alpha_i + \beta_i |\Gamma_1(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma_1(z)|$; (ii) For all $x \in X$ there exist up to isomorphism exactly two irreducible modules for the Terwilliger algebra $T(x)$ with endpoint two, and these modules are thin. We also compute α_i, β_i in terms of the intersection numbers of Γ.

We remark that this paper is part of a continuing effort to understand and classify the bipartite distance-regular graphs with at most two irreducible modules of the Terwilliger algebra with endpoint 2, both of which are thin. Please see [5]–[7], [10]–[12] for more work from this ongoing project.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we review some definitions and basic results concerning distance-regular graphs. See the book of Brouwer, Cohen and Neumaier [2] for more background information.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the complex number field and let X denote a nonempty finite set. Let $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra consisting of all matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by X and whose entries are in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^X$ denote the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by X and whose entries are in $\mathbb{C}$. We observe $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ acts on V by left multiplication. We call V the *standard module.* We endow V with the Hermitean inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ that satisfies $\langle u, v \rangle = u^t \overline{v}$ for $u, v \in V$, where t denotes transpose and $\overline{\ }$ denotes complex conjugation. For $y \in X$ let $\hat{y}$ denote the element of V with a 1 in the y coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates. We observe $\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V.

Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set X and edge set R. Let ∂ denote the path-length distance function for Γ, and set $D := \max\{\partial(x,y) \mid x, y \in X\}$. We call D the *diameter* of Γ. For a

vertex $x \in X$ and an integer i let $\Gamma_i(x)$ denote the set of vertices at distance i from x. We abbreviate $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_1(x)$. For an integer $k \geq 0$ we say Γ is *regular with valency* k whenever $|\Gamma(x)| = k$ for all $x \in X$. We say Γ is *distance-regular* whenever for all integers h, i, j $(0 \leq h, i, j \leq D)$ and for all vertices $x, y \in X$ with $\partial(x, y) = h$, the number

$$p_{ij}^h = |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$$

is independent of x and y. The p_{ij}^h are called the *intersection numbers* of Γ.

For the rest of this paper we assume Γ is distance-regular with diameter $D \geq 4$. Note that $p_{ij}^h = p_{ji}^h$ for $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$. For convenience set $c_i := p_{1,i-1}^i$ $(1 \leq i \leq D)$, $a_i := p_{1i}^i$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$, $b_i := p_{1,i+1}^i$ $(0 \leq i \leq D-1)$, $k_i := p_{ii}^0$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$, and $c_0 = b_D = 0$. By the triangle inequality the following hold for $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$: (i) $p_{ij}^h = 0$ if one of h, i, j is greater than the sum of the other two; (ii) $p_{ij}^h \neq 0$ if one of h, i, j equals the sum of the other two. In particular $c_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq D$ and $b_i \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq D-1$. We observe that Γ is regular with valency $k = k_1 = b_0$ and that

$$c_i + a_i + b_i = k \qquad (0 \leq i \leq D). \tag{1}$$

Note that $k_i = |\Gamma_i(x)|$ for $x \in X$ and $0 \leq i \leq D$. By [2, p. 127],

$$k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq D). \tag{2}$$

We recall the Bose-Mesner algebra of Γ. For $0 \leq i \leq D$ let A_i denote the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with (x, y)-entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \qquad (x, y \in X). \tag{3}$$

For notational convenience, we define A_{D+1} to be the zero matrix. We call A_i the ith *distance matrix* of Γ. We abbreviate $A := A_1$ and call this the *adjacency matrix* of Γ. We observe (ai) $A_0 = I$; (aii) $\sum_{i=0}^D A_i = J$; (aiii) $\overline{A_i} = A_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$; (aiv) $A_i^t = A_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$; (av) $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^D p_{ij}^h A_h$ $(0 \leq i, j \leq D)$, where I (resp. J) denotes the identity matrix (resp. all 1's matrix) in $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. Using these facts we find $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_D$ is a basis for a commutative subalgebra M of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. We call M the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of Γ. It turns out that A generates M [1, p. 190].

We now recall the dual idempotents of Γ. To do this fix a vertex $x \in X$. We view x as a "base vertex." For $0 \leq i \leq D$ let $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ denote the diagonal matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with (y, y)-entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \qquad (y \in X).$$

We call E_i^* the ith *dual idempotent* of Γ with respect to x [15, p. 378]. We observe (ei) $\sum_{i=0}^D E_i^* = I$; (eii) $\overline{E_i^*} = E_i^*$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$; (eiii) $E_i^{*t} = E_i^*$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$; (eiv) $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{ij} E_i^*$ $(0 \leq i, j \leq D)$. By these facts $E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_D^*$ form a basis for a commutative subalgebra

$M^* = M^*(x)$ of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. We call M^* the *dual Bose-Mesner algebra* of Γ with respect to x [15, p. 378]. For $0 \leq i \leq D$ we have

$$E_i^* V = \mathrm{Span}\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X,\ \partial(x,y) = i\},$$

so $\dim E_i^* V = k_i$. We call $E_i^* V$ the ith *subconstituent of* Γ with respect to x. Note that

$$V = E_0^* V + E_1^* V + \cdots + E_D^* V \qquad \text{(orthogonal direct sum)}. \tag{4}$$

Moreover E_i^* is the projection from V onto $E_i^* V$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$.

We recall the Terwilliger algebra of Γ. Let $T = T(x)$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ generated by M, M^*. We call T the *Terwilliger algebra of* Γ with respect to x [15, Definition 3.3]. Recall M is generated by A so T is generated by A and the dual idempotents. We observe T has finite dimension. By construction T is closed under the conjugate-transpose map so T is semisimple [15, Lemma 3.4(i)].

By a *T-module* we mean a subspace W of V such that $BW \subseteq W$ for all $B \in T$. Let W denote a T-module. Then W is said to be *irreducible* whenever W is nonzero and W contains no T-modules other than 0 and W.

By [9, Corollary 6.2] any T-module is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible T-modules. In particular the standard module V is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible T-modules. Let W, W' denote T-modules. By an *isomorphism of T-modules* from W to W' we mean an isomorphism of vector spaces $\sigma : W \to W'$ such that $(\sigma B - B\sigma)W = 0$ for all $B \in T$. The T-modules W, W' are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of T-modules from W to W'. By [4, Lemma 3.3] any two nonisomorphic irreducible T-modules are orthogonal. Let W denote an irreducible T-module. By [15, Lemma 3.4(iii)] W is an orthogonal direct sum of the nonvanishing spaces among $E_0^* W, E_1^* W, \ldots, E_D^* W$. By the *endpoint* of W we mean $\min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D,\ E_i^* W \neq 0\}$. By the *diameter* of W we mean $|\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D,\ E_i^* W \neq 0\}| - 1$. We say W is *thin* whenever the dimension of $E_i^* W$ is at most 1 for $0 \leq i \leq D$.

Fix a decomposition of the standard module V into an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible T-modules. For any irreducible T-module W, the *multiplicity* of W is the number of irreducible modules in this decomposition which are isomorphic to W. It is well-known that the multiplicity is independent of the decomposition of V.

By [8, Proposition 8.4] Γ has a unique irreducible T-module with endpoint 0. We denote this T-module by V_0. We call V_0 the *primary module*. It appears in V with multiplicity 1 and it has basis $\{s_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D\}$, where

$$s_i = \sum_{y \in \Gamma_i(x)} \hat{y}. \tag{5}$$

Recall Γ is *bipartite* whenever $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$. For the rest of this paper we assume Γ is bipartite. In order to avoid trivialities we assume the valency $k \geq 3$. We now recall a basic formula. Setting $a_i = 0$ in (1) we find

$$b_i + c_i = k \quad (0 \leq i \leq D). \tag{6}$$

In the rest of the paper we will consider the following situation.

Notation 2.1 *Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 4$, valency $k \geq 3$, intersection numbers b_i, c_i, and distance matrices A_i $(0 \leq i \leq D)$. Let V denote the standard module for Γ. We fix $x \in X$ and let $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ $(0 \leq i \leq D)$ and $T = T(x)$ denote the dual idempotents and the Terwilliger algebra of Γ with respect to x, respectively. Let V_0 denote the irreducible T-module with endpoint 0, and let V_1 denote the subspace of V spanned by the irreducible T-modules with endpoint 1. We define the set U to be the orthogonal complement of $E_2^*V_0 + E_2^*V_1$ in E_2^*V.*

With reference to Notation 2.1 we now define a certain partition of X that we will find useful.

Definition 2.2 *With reference to Notation 2.1 fix vertex $y \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$. For all integers i, j define $D_j^i = D_j^i(x, y)$ by*

$$D_j^i = \{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i \ \text{ and } \ \partial(y, z) = j\}.$$

We observe $D_j^i = \emptyset$ unless $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ and $i + j$ is even.

3 Local eigenvalues

Later in the paper we will consider the irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2. In order to discuss these we introduce some parameters we call local eigenvalues.

Definition 3.1 *With reference to Notation 2.1 let $\Gamma_2^2 = \Gamma_2^2(x)$ denote the graph with vertex set $\tilde{X} = \Gamma_2(x)$ and edge set $\tilde{R} = \{yz \mid y, z \in \tilde{X},\ \partial(y, z) = 2\}$. The graph Γ_2^2 has exactly k_2 vertices and it is regular with valency p_{22}^2. Let $\tilde{A}$ denote the adjacency matrix of Γ_2^2. The matrix $\tilde{A}$ is symmetric with real entries. Therefore $\tilde{A}$ is diagonalizable with all eigenvalues real. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{k_2}$ denote the eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}$. We call $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{k_2}$ the* local eigenvalues of Γ with respect to x.

With reference to Notation 2.1 consider the second subconstituent E_2^*V. We recall the dimension of E_2^*V is k_2 and observe E_2^*V is invariant under multiplication by $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$. Note that for an appropriate ordering of the vertices of Γ we have

$$E_2^*A_2E_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{A}$ is as in Definition 3.1. Apparently the action of $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ on E_2^*V is essentially the adjacency map for Γ_2^2. In particular, the action of $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ on E_2^*V is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{k_2}$. Note that the vector s_2 from (5) is in E_2^*V and it is an eigenvector for $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ with eigenvalue p_{22}^2. By [4, Corollary 7.7] there exists, up to isomorphism, a unique irreducible T-module with endpoint 1. It has diameter $D - 2$ and it appears in V with multiplicity $k - 1$. Let W_1 denote an irreducible T-module with endpoint 1 and choose nonzero $v \in E_2^*W_1$. By [10, Corollary 9.1], v is an eigenvector for $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ with eigenvalue $b_3 - 1$. Reordering the local eigenvalues if necessary, we have $\eta_1 = p_{22}^2$ and $\eta_i = b_3 - 1$ $(2 \leq i \leq k)$. We define the set $\Phi_2 := \{\eta_i \mid k + 1 \leq i \leq k_2\}$. Note that the set Φ_2 is the same as the set Φ_2 from [6, Definition 4.8].

Lemma 3.2 *With reference to Notation 2.1, the local eigenvalues $\eta \in \Phi_2$ are exactly the eigenvalues of $E_2^* A_2 E_2^*$ on U.*

PROOF. By the definition of U, we find that U is invariant under the action of $E_2^* A_2 E_2^*$. Apparently the restriction of $E_2^* A_2 E_2^*$ to U is diagonalizable with eigenvalues η_i $(k+1 \leq i \leq k_2)$. The result follows. ∎

We now define certain scalars Δ_i $(2 \leq i \leq D-1)$. To do this recall that, by [2, Lemma 4.1.7], we have

$$p_{2i}^i = \frac{c_i(b_{i-1}-1) + b_i(c_{i+1}-1)}{c_2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq D-1$.

Definition 3.3 *With reference to Notation 2.1, for $2 \leq i \leq D-1$ we define*

$$\Delta_i = (b_{i-1}-1)(c_{i+1}-1) - (c_2-1)p_{2i}^i.$$

Lemma 3.4 ([3, Theorem 12], [6, Corollary 4.13]) *With reference to Notation 2.1 and Definition 3.3, we have $\Delta_i \geq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq D-1$. Moreover $\Delta_2 = 0$ if and only if $|\Phi_2| \leq 1$.*

Let W denote a thin irreducible T-module with endpoint 2. Then $E_2^* W$ is a one-dimensional eigenspace for $E_2^* A_2 E_2^*$; we call the corresponding eigenvalue the *local eigenvalue* of W. Note this local eigenvalue of W is contained in the set $\{\eta_{k+1}, \eta_{k+2}, \ldots, \eta_{k_2}\}$. We will need the following result.

Lemma 3.5 ([5, Lemma 10.10 and Theorem 11.7]) *With reference to Notation 2.1, let W, W' denote thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2. Then W and W' are isomorphic as T-modules if and only if they have the same local eigenvalue.*

4 Lowering and raising matrices

With reference to Notation 2.1, in this section we recall the lowering matrix and the raising matrix of the algebra T.

Definition 4.1 With reference to Notation 2.1 we define matrices $L = L(x)$, $R = R(x)$ by

$$L = \sum_{h=1}^{D} E_{h-1}^* A E_h^*, \qquad R = \sum_{h=0}^{D-1} E_{h+1}^* A E_h^*.$$

Note that $A = L + R$ [4, Lemma 4.4]. We call L and R the *lowering matrix* and the *raising matrix of* Γ with respect to x, respectively.

Lemma 4.2 *With reference to Notation 2.1 let $y, z \in X$. Then the following* (i), (ii) *hold.*

(i) $L_{zy} = 1$ *if* $\partial(z,y) = 1$ *and* $\partial(x,z) = \partial(x,y) - 1$, *and* 0 *otherwise.*

(ii) $R_{zy} = 1$ *if* $\partial(z,y) = 1$ *and* $\partial(x,z) = \partial(x,y) + 1$, *and* 0 *otherwise.*

PROOF. Immediate from Definition 4.1 and elementary matrix multiplication. ∎

With reference to Notation 2.1 let $y, z \in X$. We display the (z,y)-entry of certain products of the matrices L and R. To do this we need another definition.

A sequence of vertices $[y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_t]$ of Γ is a *walk* in Γ if $y_{i-1}y_i$ is an edge for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Lemma 4.3 *With reference to Notation 2.1 choose* $y, z \in X$ *and let* m *denote a positive integer. Assume that* $y \in \Gamma_i(x)$. *Then the following* (i)–(iii) *hold.*

(i) *The* (z,y)*-entry of* R^m *is equal to the number of walks* $[y = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_m = z]$, *such that* $y_j \in \Gamma_{i+j}(x)$ *for* $0 \leq j \leq m$.

(ii) *The* (z,y)*-entry of* $R^m L$ *is equal to the number of walks* $[y = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{m+1} = z]$, *such that* $y_1 \in \Gamma_{i-1}(x)$ *and* $y_j \in \Gamma_{i-2+j}(x)$ *for* $2 \leq j \leq m+1$.

(iii) *The* (z,y)*-entry of* LR^m *is equal to the number of walks* $[y = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{m+1} = z]$, *such that* $y_j \in \Gamma_{i+j}(x)$ *for* $0 \leq j \leq m$ *and* $y_{m+1} \in \Gamma_{i+m-1}(x)$.

PROOF. Immediate from Lemma 4.2 and elementary matrix multiplication. ∎

Lemma 4.4 *With reference to Notation 2.1, choose an integer* i $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$. *Let* $y, z \in X$ *such that* $y \in \Gamma_2(x), z \in \Gamma_i(x)$. *Then the following* (i)-(iii) *hold:*

(i) $(LR^{i-1})_{zy} = \begin{cases} b_i c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1 & \text{if } \partial(z,y) = i-2, \\ (c_i - |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|)\, c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1 & \text{if } \partial(z,y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(ii) $(R^{i-1}L)_{zy} = \begin{cases} c_2 c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1 & \text{if } \partial(z,y) = i-2, \\ |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1 & \text{if } \partial(z,y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(iii) $(R^{i-2})_{zy} = \begin{cases} c_{i-2} c_{i-3} \cdots c_1 & \text{if } \partial(z,y) = i-2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

PROOF. Since $\partial(x,y) = 2, \partial(x,z) = i$, and Γ is bipartite, we find $\partial(z,y) \in \{i-2, i, i+2\}$. Now by Lemma 4.3, the (z,y)-entry of each of $LR^{i-1}, R^{i-1}L$, and R^{i-2} is 0 whenever $\partial(z,y) = i+2$. Let $D_j^\ell = D_j^\ell(x,y)$ $(0 \leq \ell, j \leq D)$ be as in Definition 2.2.

Assume $\partial(z,y) = i$. By Lemma 4.3, $(LR^{i-1})_{zy}$ equals the number of walks $[y = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_i = z]$, such that $y_j \in \Gamma_{2+j}(x)$ for $0 \leq j \leq i-1$. Since $\partial(z,y) = i$ each such walk is actually a path passing through D_{i-1}^{i+1}. Note that z has $c_i - |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|$ neighbours in D_{i-1}^{i+1}, and that there are precisely $c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1$ paths of length $i-1$ from each vertex in D_{i-1}^{i+1} to y. It follows from the above comments that

$$(LR^{i-1})_{zy} = (c_i - |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|) c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1.$$

By Lemma 4.3 $(R^{i-1}L)_{zy}$ equals the number of walks $[y = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_i = z]$, such that $y_j \in \Gamma_j(x)$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. Since $\partial(z, y) = i$ each such walk is actually a path passing through D_1^1. Note that there are precisely $c_{i-1}c_{i-2}\cdots c_1$ paths of length $i-1$ from z to each vertex in D_1^1 which is at distance $i-1$ from z. It follows from the above comments that

$$(R^{i-1}L)_{zy} = |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)|c_{i-1}c_{i-2}\cdots c_1.$$

By Lemma 4.3 $(R^{i-2})_{zy}$ equals the number of walks $[y = y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-2} = z]$, such that $y_j \in \Gamma_{2+j}(x)$ for $0 \leq j \leq i-2$. Since $\partial(z, y) = i$, this number equals 0.

Assume finally that $\partial(z, y) = i-2$. Using Lemma 4.3 and similar reasoning as above, we find that $(LR^{i-1})_{zy} = b_i c_{i-1}c_{i-2}\cdots c_1$, $(R^{i-1}L)_{zy} = c_2 c_{i-1}c_{i-2}\cdots c_1$, and $(R^{i-2})_{zy} = c_{i-2}c_{i-3}\cdots c_1$. This completes the proof. ∎

Lemma 4.5 *With reference to Notation 2.1, the following* (i), (ii) *hold for* $2 \leq i \leq D$*:*

(i) $E_i^* A_{i-2} E_2^* = \dfrac{1}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{i-2}} E_i^* R^{i-2} E_2^*$.

(ii) $E_i^* A_i E_2^* = \dfrac{1}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} E_i^* \Big(R^{i-1}L + R^{i-2}LR + \cdots + LR^{i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{j-1}c_j R^{i-2} \Big) E_2^*$.

Proof. It is well-known that $A_i = v_i(A)$, where v_j $(0 \leq j \leq D)$ are polynomials of degree j defined recursively by

$$v_0(\lambda) = 1, \qquad v_1(\lambda) = \lambda, \qquad c_{j+1}v_{j+1}(\lambda) = \lambda v_j(\lambda) - b_{j-1}v_{j-1}(\lambda). \tag{7}$$

From (7) it is easy to see that for $0 \leq j \leq D$ we have

$$v_j(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_j}\lambda^j - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \frac{b_{\ell-1}c_\ell}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_j}\lambda^{j-2} + (\text{lower degree terms}).$$

Since $A = R + L$ it follows from the above comments that

$$A_{i-2} = v_{i-2}(R+L) = \frac{1}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{i-2}}(R+L)^{i-2} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-3} \frac{b_{\ell-1}c_\ell}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{i-2}}(R+L)^{i-4} + \cdots$$

and

$$A_i = v_i(R+L) = \frac{1}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}(R+L)^{i} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{\ell-1}c_\ell}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}(R+L)^{i-2} + \cdots$$

The result now follows from the facts that $RE_j^*V \subseteq E_{j+1}^*V$ and $LE_j^*V \subseteq E_{j-1}^*V$. ∎

Lemma 4.6 *With reference to Notation 2.1, pick* $v \in U$*. Then the following* (i), (ii) *hold.*

(i) *The vector* v *is an eigenvector of* $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ *if and only if* v *is an eigenvector of* $E_2^*LRE_2^*$.

(ii) *If v is an eigenvector of $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ with eigenvalue $\eta \in \Phi_2$, then the corresponding eigenvalue for $E_2^*LRE_2^*$ is $c_2\eta + k$.*

PROOF. (i) By Lemma 4.5(ii) we have

$$E_2^*A_2E_2^* = \frac{1}{c_2}E_2^*(RL + LR - kI)E_2^*.$$

Moreover, since $v \in U$, we have $E_2^*RLE_2^*v = RLv = 0$. The result follows.
(ii) Immediate from comments in the proof of (i) above. ∎

5 Algebraic condition implies combinatorial property

With reference to Notation 2.1 assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. In this section we prove that for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$, not all zero, with the following property: for all $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 2$, $\partial(x,z) = i$, $\partial(y,z) = i$ we have

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| + \gamma_i|\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)| = 0.$$

Since up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, we can split the irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2 into two isomorphism classes. Let V_2^1 denote the sum of T-modules from the first of these isomorphism classes, and let V_2^2 denote the sum of T-modules from the second of these isomorphism classes. Let d_1 denote the diameter of the irreducible T-modules from the first isomorphism class, and let d_2 denote the diameter of the irreducible T-modules from the second isomorphism class. Note that $d_1, d_2 \in \{D-4, D-3, D-2\}$ by [5, Theorem 10.1].

Lemma 5.1 *With reference to Notation 2.1 assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. Let F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, $F_5 \in T$ and pick an integer i, $2 \leq i \leq D-2$. Assume that $E_i^*F_5E_2^*v$ is nonzero for every nonzero $v \in E_2^*V$. Then the matrices*

$$E_i^*F_1E_2^*,\ E_i^*F_2E_2^*,\ E_i^*F_3E_2^*,\ E_i^*F_4E_2^*, E_i^*F_5E_2^*$$

are linearly dependent.

PROOF. Since V_0 is thin, there exist scalars $r_{0,j}$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ such that for $v \in E_2^*V_0$ we have $E_i^*F_jE_2^*v = r_{0,j}E_i^*F_5E_2^*v$. Since all irreducible T-modules with endpoint 1 are thin and mutually isomorphic, there exist scalars $r_{1,j}$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ such that for $v \in E_2^*V_1$ we have $E_i^*F_jE_2^*v = r_{1,j}E_i^*F_5E_2^*v$. Since all the summands of V_2^ℓ $(\ell \in \{1,2\})$ are thin and mutually isomorphic, there exist scalars $r_{2,j}^\ell$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ such that for $v \in E_2^*V_2^\ell$ we have $E_i^*F_jE_2^*v = r_{2,j}^\ell E_i^*F_5E_2^*v$.

We will now show that there exist scalars λ_i $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ such that λ_i are not all zero and such that

$$\lambda_1E_i^*F_1E_2^* + \lambda_2E_i^*F_2E_2^* + \lambda_3E_i^*F_3E_2^* + \lambda_4E_i^*F_4E_2^* + \lambda_5E_i^*F_5E_2^* = 0. \tag{8}$$

Let S denote the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_{0,1} & r_{0,2} & r_{0,3} & r_{0,4} & 1 \\ r_{1,1} & r_{1,2} & r_{1,3} & r_{1,4} & 1 \\ r^1_{2,1} & r^1_{2,2} & r^1_{2,3} & r^1_{2,4} & 1 \\ r^2_{2,1} & r^2_{2,2} & r^2_{2,3} & r^2_{2,4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the rank of S is less or equal to 4, the system of equations $S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^t = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t$ has a nontrivial solution. Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5)^t$ be such a nontrivial solution. Choose an arbitrary $v \in V$ and note that

$$E^*_2 v = v_0 + v_1 + v^1_2 + v^2_2,$$

where $v_0 \in V_0$, $v_1 \in V_1$, $v^1_2 \in V^1_2$ and $v^2_2 \in V^2_2$. Using the above comments we find

$$E^*_i F_j E^*_2 v = r_{0,j} E^*_i F_5 E^*_2 v_0 + r_{1,j} E^*_i F_5 E^*_2 v_1 + r^1_{2,j} E^*_i F_5 E^*_2 v^1_2 + r^2_{2,j} E^*_i F_5 E^*_2 v^2_2 \qquad (1 \leq j \leq 4).$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_1 E^*_i F_1 E^*_2 v + \lambda_2 E^*_i F_2 E^*_2 v + \lambda_3 E^*_i F_3 E^*_2 v + \lambda_4 E^*_i F_4 E^*_2 v + \lambda_5 E^*_i F_5 E^*_2 v = 0$$

and hence (8) holds. ∎

Lemma 5.2 *With reference to Notation 2.1 assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. For $2 \leq i \leq D-2$, the matrices*

$$E^*_i L R^{i-1} E^*_2,\ E^*_i R^{i-1} L E^*_2,\ E^*_i A_i E^*_2,\ E^*_i R^{i-2} E^*_2$$

are linearly dependent.

Proof. By [4, Lemma 5.3(iii), Lemma 7.3(ii)] and [5, Theorem 9.4(i), Lemma 10.2(i)], $R^{i-2} v \neq 0$ for each nonzero $v \in E^*_2 V$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, there exist scalars λ_j $(1 \leq j \leq 5)$, not all zero, such that

$$\lambda_1 E^*_i R^i L^2 E^*_2 + \lambda_2 E^*_i L R^{i-1} E^*_2 + \lambda_3 E^*_i R^{i-1} L E^*_2 + \lambda_4 E^*_i A_i E^*_2 + \lambda_5 E^*_i R^{i-2} E^*_2 = 0.$$

We will show that $\lambda_1 = 0$. Choose $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$, $\partial(x, z) = i$ and $\partial(y, z) = i + 2$. Then by Lemma 4.3 and elementary matrix multiplication, the (z, y)-entry of $E^*_i R^i L^2 E^*_2$ is nonzero, while by Lemma 4.4 the (z, y)-entries of $E^*_i L R^{i-1} E^*_2$, $E^*_i R^{i-1} L E^*_2$, and $E^*_i R^{i-2} E^*_2$ are all 0. Similarly, the (z, y)-entry of $E^*_i A_i E^*_2$ is 0. Hence $\lambda_1 = 0$ and the result follows. ∎

Theorem 5.3 *With reference to Notation 2.1 assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. Then for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ which are not all zero and with the following property: for all $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$, $\partial(x, z) = i$, $\partial(y, z) = i$ we have*

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| + \gamma_i |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)| = 0. \qquad (9)$$

PROOF. Note that the theorem holds for $i = 2$ with $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\beta_2 = 1$ and $\gamma_2 = -1$. Assume $i \geq 3$. By Lemma 5.2 there exist scalars $\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}, \lambda_{3,i}$ and $\lambda_{4,i}$ which are not all zero and such that

$$\lambda_{1,i}E_i^*LR^{i-1}E_2^* + \lambda_{2,i}E_i^*R^{i-1}LE_2^* + \lambda_{3,i}E_i^*A_iE_2^* + \lambda_{4,i}E_i^*R^{i-2}E_2^* = 0. \tag{10}$$

Let $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$, $\partial(x, z) = i$ and $\partial(y, z) = i$. Observe that since $\partial(x, y) = 2$ and $\partial(x, z) = i$ we have $(E_i^*LR^{i-1}E_2^*)_{zy} = (LR^{i-1})_{zy}$, $(E_i^*R^{i-1}LE_2^*)_{zy} = (R^{i-1}L)_{zy}$, $(E_i^*A_iE_2^*)_{zy} = (A_i)_{zy}$, and $(E_i^*R^{i-2}E_2^*)_{zy} = (R^{i-2})_{zy}$. Now observe that $(E_i^*A_iE_2^*)_{zy} = 1$ by (3). By Lemma 4.4, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(E_i^*LR^{i-1}E_2^*)_{zy} &= (c_i - |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|)c_{i-1}c_{i-2}\cdots c_1, \\
(E_i^*R^{i-1}LE_2^*)_{zy} &= |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)|c_{i-1}c_{i-2}\cdots c_1, \\
(E_i^*R^{i-2}E_2^*)_{zy} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\alpha_i = \lambda_{1,i}c_i\cdots c_1 + \lambda_{3,i}$, $\beta_i = \lambda_{2,i}c_{i-1}\cdots c_1$ and $\gamma_i = -\lambda_{1,i}c_{i-1}\cdots c_1$. If $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \gamma_i = 0$, then $\lambda_{1,i} = \lambda_{2,i} = \lambda_{3,i} = 0$. It follows from (10) that $\lambda_{4,i}E_i^*R^{i-2}E_2^* = 0$. Since $\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}, \lambda_{3,i}, \lambda_{4,i}$ are not all zero, we have $E_i^*R^{i-2}E_2^* = 0$. But this is a contradiction, since by Lemma 4.3(i), $(E_i^*R^{i-2}E_2^*)_{wy} \neq 0$ for $w \in \Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-2}(y)$. Therefore α_i, β_i and γ_i are not all zero. Taking the (z, y)-entry of both sides of (10) and using the above information, we obtain the desired result. ∎

6 The $\gamma_i = 0$ case

With reference to Notation 2.1, assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. In the last section we showed that for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ which are not all zero and with the following property: for all $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$, $\partial(x, z) = i$, $\partial(y, z) = i$ we have

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| + \gamma_i|\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)| = 0.$$

In this section, we will show that $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 ([5, Section 10]) *With reference to Notation 2.1, let W denote a thin irreducible T-module of endpoint 2. Let $v \in E_2^*W$ be an eigenvector for $E_2^*A_2E_2^*$ with eigenvalue η. For all integers $2 \leq i \leq D-1$, define vectors $v_i^+ = E_i^*A_{i-2}v$, $v_i^- = E_i^*A_{i+2}v$. There exist unique real scalars $\varphi_i = \varphi_i(W)$, $\omega_i = \omega_i(W)$ $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ such that*

$$v_i^- = \varphi_i v_i^+, \qquad Lv_{i+1}^+ = \omega_i v_i^+.$$

The scalars φ_i and ω_i satisfy the following recurrence:

$$\varphi_2 = -(\eta + 1), \qquad \omega_2 = b_2 - c_2\varphi_2, \tag{11}$$

$$\varphi_i = \frac{b_{i+1}}{\omega_{i-1}}\varphi_{i-1}, \qquad \omega_i = c_{i-2}\varphi_{i-1} + b_i - c_i\varphi_i \qquad (3 \leq i \leq D-2). \tag{12}$$

Moreover, the scalars $\omega_2, \omega_3, \ldots, \omega_{D-3}$ are all positive.

For the rest of this section, we will use the following notation.

Notation 6.2 *With reference to Notation 2.1, assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. Let $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ denote the scalars from Theorem 5.3. Let W, W' denote non-isomorphic thin irreducible T-modules of endpoint 2 with corresponding local eigenvalues η, η', respectively. We define scalars ψ, ψ' by*

$$\psi = \begin{cases} b_2 - \frac{b_2 b_3}{1+\eta}, & \text{if } \eta \neq -1 \\ \infty, & \text{if } \eta = -1 \end{cases} \qquad \psi' = \begin{cases} b_2 - \frac{b_2 b_3}{1+\eta'}, & \text{if } \eta' \neq -1 \\ \infty, & \text{if } \eta' = -1. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Fix nonzero vectors $v \in E_2^* W, v' \in E_2^* W'$. We note v, v' are eigenvectors for $E_2^* A_2 E_2^*$ with eigenvalues η, η', respectively. We define scalars $\varphi_i(W), \varphi_i(W'), \omega_i(W), \omega_i(W')$ $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ as in Lemma 6.1.

With reference to Notation 6.2, recall that we wish to show $\gamma_i \neq 0$ $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$. We will proceed by contradiction. As our proof is a bit long, we will need some intermediary results. In the following two lemmas, we will assume there exists an integer i $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ such that $\gamma_i = 0$, and we obtain some preliminary results that ultimately will lead to a contradiction.

Lemma 6.3 *With reference to Notation 6.2, assume there exists an integer i $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ such that $\gamma_i = 0$. Then $\varphi_i(W) = \varphi_i(W')$. Moreover, these scalars are both nonnegative.*

Proof. Setting $\gamma_i = 0$ in (9), we find that $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)|$ is constant for all $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 2, \partial(x,z) = i, \partial(y,z) = i$. Let τ denote this constant. Now let z, y denote arbitrary vertices in X. By computing the (z,y)-entry of both sides, we shall now show that

$$E_i^* R^{i-1} L E_2^* - c_{i-1} c_2 E_i^* R^{i-2} E_2^* - \tau c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \cdots c_1 E_i^* A_i E_2^* = 0. \tag{14}$$

Indeed, it is clear that the (z,y)-entry of both sides of (14) is zero unless $z \in \Gamma_i(x)$ and $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$. So let $z \in \Gamma_i(x)$, $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$. Observe that $(E_i^* R^{i-1} L E_2^*)_{zy} = (R^{i-1}L)_{zy}$, $(E_i^* R^{i-2} E_2^*)_{zy} = (R^{i-2})_{zy}$, and $(E_i^* A_i E_2^*)_{zy} = (A_i)_{zy}$. Using Lemma 4.4 and (3), one verifies the (z,y)-entries of both sides of (14) are equal, and hence (14) holds.

Since the T-module W has endpoint 2, we observe $E_i^* R^{i-1} L E_2^* v = 0$ and thus

$$\tau c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \ldots c_1 E_i^* A_i E_2^* v = -c_{i-1} c_2 E_i^* R^{i-2} E_2^* v. \tag{15}$$

By [5, Corollary 9.3, Theorem 9.4], we find

$$E_i^* A_i E_2^* v = -v_i^+ - v_i^-, \qquad E_i^* R^{i-2} E_2^* v = c_{i-2} c_{i-3} \ldots c_2 v_i^+, \tag{16}$$

where v_i^+, v_i^- are as in Lemma 6.1. Combining the information in (15), (16), we find $\tau^{-1}(c_2 - \tau) v_i^+ = v_i^-$. Thus by Lemma 6.1, $\varphi_i(W) = \tau^{-1}(c_2 - \tau)$. We note that $\tau^{-1}(c_2 - \tau) \geq 0$ since $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)| = c_2$. By a similar argument, $\varphi_i(W') = \tau^{-1}(c_2 - \tau)$, and the result follows. ∎

Lemma 6.4 *With reference to Notation 6.2, assume there exists an integer i $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$ such that $\gamma_i = 0$. Then $\eta, \eta' \neq -1$.*

PROOF. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\eta = -1$. Then by (11), (12), we find $\varphi_2(W) = 0$, $\varphi_i(W) = 0$. By Lemma 6.3, we find $\varphi_i(W') = 0$, and hence $\varphi_2(W') = 0$ by (12). So $\eta' = -1$ by (11). Thus W, W' have the same local eigenvalue, and hence are isomorphic by Lemma 3.5, a contradiction. ∎

Theorem 6.5 *With reference to Notation 6.2, $\gamma_i \neq 0$ $(2 \leq i \leq D-2)$.*

PROOF. Suppose there exists an integer i such that $\gamma_i = 0$. By Lemma 6.3, $\varphi_i(W) = \varphi_i(W')$. By Lemma 6.4, $\eta, \eta' \neq -1$. We now define polynomials p_j, P_j $(0 \leq j \leq D-2)$ in $\mathbb{R}[\lambda]$ as in [11, Definition 6.2]. Using [11, Lemma 6.3], (11)–(13), and treating separately the cases where j is odd and even, one uses induction on j to routinely prove that

$$\varphi_j(W) = \frac{b_j b_{j+1}}{c_{j-1} c_j} \frac{P_{j-2}(\psi)}{P_j(\psi)}, \qquad \varphi_j(W') = \frac{b_j b_{j+1}}{c_{j-1} c_j} \frac{P_{j-2}(\psi')}{P_j(\psi')} \qquad (2 \leq j \leq D-2). \tag{17}$$

Now let θ_1 denote the second-largest eigenvalue of Γ, and let θ_d denote the smallest nonnegative eigenvalue of Γ. In [10, Theorem 11.4] it is shown that $\tilde{\theta}_1 \leq \eta, \eta' \leq \tilde{\theta}_d$, where $\tilde{\theta}_1 = -1 - b_2 b_3 (\theta_1^2 - b_2)^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_d = -1 - b_2 b_3 (\theta_d^2 - b_2)^{-1}$. By [10, Lemma 3.5], $\theta_1^2 > b_2 > \theta_d^2$, so $\tilde{\theta}_1 < -1 < \tilde{\theta}_d$. We claim that $\tilde{\theta}_1 \leq \eta, \eta' < -1$. To the contrary, without loss of generality, suppose $-1 < \eta \leq \tilde{\theta}_d$. By [11, Lemmas 5.6(i), 6.6(iii), 15.3(ii)], we find that $P_{i-2}(\psi), P_i(\psi)$ have opposite signs. Thus in view of (17), we find $\varphi_i(W)$ is negative, contradicting Lemma 6.3. Thus $\tilde{\theta}_1 \leq \eta, \eta' < -1$, and by [11, Lemmas 5.5(i), 15.3(i)], we find $P_j(\psi), P_j(\psi')$ are positive for $0 \leq j \leq D-2$. In view of the fact that $\eta, \eta' < -1$ and using (13), we find $\psi, \psi' > 0$.

By (17) and the fact that $\varphi_i(W) = \varphi_i(W')$, we find

$$P_{i-2}(\psi) P_i(\psi') - P_{i-2}(\psi') P_i(\psi) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Now using [11, Definition 6.2], [10, Lemma 5.3], and treating the cases of i odd and even separately, we find

$$c_{i-1}^{-1} c_i^{-1} (\psi' - \psi) \sum_{\substack{h=0 \\ i-h \text{ even}}}^{i-2} P_h(\psi) P_h(\psi') \frac{k_{i-2} b_{i-2} b_{i-1}}{k_h b_h b_{h+1}} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Since all terms in the sum above are positive, we find $\psi = \psi'$. Thus $\eta = \eta'$, so W, W' are isomorphic by Lemma 3.5, a contradiction. ∎

Corollary 6.6 *With reference to Notation 2.1 assume that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. Then $\Delta_2 > 0$ and for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars α_i, β_i with the following property: for all $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$, $\partial(x, z) = i$, $\partial(y, z) = i$ we have*

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|. \tag{20}$$

PROOF. Since Γ has exactly two thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, we see $|\Phi_2| = 2$ by Lemma 3.5. Thus $\Delta_2 > 0$ by Lemma 3.4. Equation (20) follows immediately from Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.5. ∎

7 Some equations involving lowering and raising matrices

In this section we assume the following notation.

Notation 7.1 *With reference to Notation 2.1 assume that for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars α_i, β_i with the following property: for all $y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 2$, $\partial(x,z) = i$, $\partial(y,z) = i$ we have*

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|. \tag{21}$$

Assume the situation from Notation 7.1. Our goal is to prove that if $\Delta_2 > 0$, then up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. To do this, we will need some equations involving raising and lowering matrices.

Lemma 7.2 *With reference to Notation 7.1, for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ we have*

$$LR^{i-1}E_2^* = c_{i-1}\cdots c_1(c_i - \alpha_i)E_i^*A_iE_2^* - \beta_i R^{i-1}LE_2^* + c_{i-1}(b_i + \beta_i c_2)R^{i-2}E_2^*. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let $y, z \in X$. We show that the (z,y)-entry of both sides of the above equation agree. First note that the (z,y)-entry of both sides is 0 if $\partial(x,y) \neq 2$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that the (z,y)-entry of both sides is 0 if $\partial(x,z) \neq i$.

Assume now $\partial(x,y) = 2$ and $\partial(x,z) = i$. Observe that $(LR^{i-1}E_2^*)_{zy} = (LR^{i-1})_{zy}$, $(E_i^*A_iE_2^*)_{zy} = (A_i)_{zy}$, $(R^{i-1}LE_2^*)_{zy} = (R^{i-1}L)_{zy}$, and $(R^{i-2}E_2^*)_{zy} = (R^{i-2})_{zy}$. By the triangle inequality and since Γ is bipartite we have $\partial(y,z) \in \{i-2, i, i+2\}$. In each of these three cases, we may use Lemma 4.4, (3), and (21) to verify that the (z,y)-entries of both sides of (22) agree. This completes the proof. ∎

Corollary 7.3 *With reference to Notation 7.1, for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i LR^{i-1}E_2^* = (c_i - \alpha_i)\Big(R^{i-1}LE_2^* + R^{i-2}LRE_2^* + \cdots + RLR^{i-2}E_2^*\Big) + \\ - c_i\beta_i R^{i-1}LE_2^* + \Big(c_ic_{i-1}(\beta_ic_2 + b_i) + (\alpha_i - c_i)\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{j-1}c_j\Big)R^{i-2}E_2^*. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.5(ii) we find

$$\begin{aligned} LR^{i-1}E_2^* = c_ic_{i-1}\cdots c_1E_i^*A_iE_2^* - R^{i-1}LE_2^* - R^{i-2}LRE_2^* - \cdots - RLR^{i-2}E_2^* + \\ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{j-1}c_jR^{i-2}E_2^*. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Multiplying (24) with $c_i - \alpha_i$ and (22) with c_i and then subtracting the resulting equations, we find (23). ∎

8 The $\alpha_i = 0$ case

With reference to Notation 7.1, in this section we assume that $\alpha_i = 0$ for some i $(3 \leq i \leq D-2)$. We will show that in this case $\Delta_2 = 0$, where Δ_2 is from Definition 3.3.

Definition 8.1 *With reference to Notation 7.1 assume that $\alpha_i = 0$ for some i $(3 \leq i \leq D-2)$, and let $\ell \geq 3$ be the minimal integer such that $\alpha_\ell = 0$. For $3 \leq i \leq D-2$ define t_i as*

$$t_i = c_i c_{i-1}(\beta_i c_2 + b_i) + (\alpha_i - c_i)\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{j-1}c_j. \tag{25}$$

Moreover, let $u \in U$ be an eigenvector for LR, and let λ be the corresponding eigenvalue. Define scalars λ_i $(1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2)$ by

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda, \qquad \lambda_i = \frac{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}\Big(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1}\Big) + \frac{t_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}. \tag{26}$$

Note that for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$ we have $\lambda_i = \sigma_i \lambda + \rho_i$, where $\sigma_1 = 1, \rho_1 = 0$ and

$$\sigma_i = \frac{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}\Big(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1}\Big) \qquad (2 \leq i \leq \ell - 2), \tag{27}$$

$$\rho_i = \frac{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}\Big(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \cdots + \rho_{i-1}\Big) + \frac{t_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}} \qquad (2 \leq i \leq \ell - 2). \tag{28}$$

We now show that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$.

Lemma 8.2 *With reference to Definition 8.1 we have $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$.*

Proof. When $i = 1$ the result is clear. Assume now that $i \geq 2$ and that on the contrary we have $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_i = 0$. We claim that in this case also $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1} = 0$. If $c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1} = 0$, then, by (27), we have $\sigma_i = 0$ and so $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1} = 0$.

If $c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1} \neq 0$, then, by (27), we have

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1} = \frac{\alpha_{i+1}\sigma_i}{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}.$$

Therefore

$$0 = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_i = \frac{\alpha_{i+1}\sigma_i}{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}} + \sigma_i = \frac{c_{i+1}\sigma_i}{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}.$$

It follows that $\sigma_i = 0$, and so $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1} = 0$. But now it follows that $\sigma_1 = 0$, a contradiction. ∎

Lemma 8.3 *With reference to Definition 8.1, for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$ we have*

$$LR^i u = \lambda_i R^{i-1} u.$$

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction. Note that the lemma is true for $i = 1$ as $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and u is an eigenvector for LR with eigenvalue λ. Assume now that the lemma is true for each j $(1 \leq j \leq i-1)$. We will prove that it is true also for i. Note that $\alpha_{i+1} \neq 0$ and $Lu = 0$, so by Corollary 7.3 we have

$$LR^i u = \frac{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}\Big(R^{i-1}LRu + \cdots + RLR^{i-1}u\Big) + \frac{t_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}R^{i-1}u =$$

$$\frac{c_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}\Big(\lambda_1 R^{i-1}u + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1}R^{i-1}u\Big) + \frac{t_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}R^{i-1}u = \lambda_i R^{i-1}u.$$

The result follows. ∎

Lemma 8.4 *With reference to Definition 8.1 we have*

$$\Big(c_\ell(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell-2}) + t_\ell\Big)R^{\ell-2}u = 0.$$

PROOF. As $\alpha_\ell = 0$ and $Lu = 0$, Corollary 7.3 implies

$$0 = c_\ell\Big(R^{\ell-2}LRu + \cdots + RLR^{\ell-2}u\Big) + t_\ell R^{\ell-2}u.$$

The result follows in view of Lemma 8.3. ∎

Lemma 8.5 *With reference to Definition 8.1 we have $R^i u \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$.*

PROOF. Assume to the contrary that $R^i u = 0$ for some i $(0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2)$ and set $W = \mathrm{span}\{u, Ru, \ldots, R^{i-1}u\}$. We claim that W is a T-module. Observe that since $RE_j^*V \subseteq E_{j+1}^*V$ for $0 \leq j \leq D-1$ and $RE_D^*V = 0$, W is invariant under the action of E_j^* for $0 \leq j \leq D$. Note also that W is by definition invariant under the action of R. By Lemma 8.3, W is also invariant under the action of L. As $R + L = A$, it follows that W is a T-module. Since $u \in U$, the endpoint of W is 2. As W is a direct sum of irreducible T-modules, there exists an irreducible T-module W' with endpoint 2 which is contained in W. It follows that the diameter of W' is less than or equal to $\ell - 3$. But by [5, Theorem 10.1], the diameter of W' is in $\{D-4, D-3, D-2\}$, and so $\ell - 3 \geq D - 4$, a contradiction. It follows that $R^i u \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$. ∎

Corollary 8.6 *With reference to Definition 8.1 we have*

$$c_\ell(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell-2}) + t_\ell = 0.$$

PROOF. Immediate from Lemma 8.4 and Lemma 8.5. ∎

Theorem 8.7 *With reference to Definition 8.1 we have $|\Phi_2| \leq 1$. Furthermore, $\Delta_2 = 0$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.6, Φ_2 consists of values η for which $c_2\eta + k$ is an eigenvalue of LRE_2^* on U. Let $\eta \in \Phi_2$ such that $\lambda = c_2\eta + k$. By Corollary 8.6 and since $\lambda_i = \sigma_i\lambda + \rho_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$ we have

$$\lambda(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{\ell-2}) = -\frac{t_\ell}{c_\ell} - (\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \cdots + \rho_{\ell-2}).$$

As $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{\ell-2} \neq 0$ by Lemma 8.2, we have

$$\lambda = -\frac{\frac{t_\ell}{c_\ell} + (\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \cdots + \rho_{\ell-2})}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_{\ell-2}}.$$

By definition the numbers ρ_i, σ_i $(1 \leq i \leq \ell - 2)$ and t_ℓ depend only on the intersection numbers of Γ and on numbers α_i, β_i $(3 \leq i \leq \ell - 1)$. Therefore λ (and hence also η) is uniquely determined, so $|\Phi_2| \leq 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\Delta_2 = 0$. ∎

9 Combinatorial property implies algebraic condition

With reference to Notation 7.1 assume $\Delta_2 > 0$. In this section we prove that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin. Observe that by Theorem 8.7 we have $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for $3 \leq i \leq D - 2$.

Lemma 9.1 *With reference to Notation 7.1, assume $\Delta_2 > 0$. Let W denote an irreducible T-module with endpoint 2, and choose $u \in E_2^* W$ which is an eigenvector for LR. Then $LR^{i-1}u \in \mathrm{Span}\{R^{i-2}u\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$.*

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction on i. Note that the statement of the lemma is true for $i = 2$ since u is an eigenvector for LR. Assume $i \geq 3$. The result now follows from (23) using $E_2^* u = u$, $Lu = 0$, and the induction hypothesis. ∎

Corollary 9.2 *With reference to Notation 7.1, assume $\Delta_2 > 0$. Let W denote an irreducible T-module with endpoint 2, and choose $u \in E_2^* W$ which is an eigenvector for LR. Then the following* (i)–(iii) *hold.*

(i) $E_i^* A_{i-2} E_2^* u = 1/(c_{i-2} c_{i-3} \cdots c_1) R^{i-2} u \quad (2 \leq i \leq D)$.

(ii) $E_i^* A_i E_2^* u \in \mathrm{Span}\{R^{i-2}u\} \quad (2 \leq i \leq D-2)$.

(iii) $E_i^* A_{i+2} E_2^* u \in \mathrm{Span}\{R^{i-2}u\} \quad (2 \leq i \leq D-2)$.

Proof. (i) Immediate from Lemma 4.5(i) using $E_2^* u = u$.
(ii) Immediate from Lemma 4.5(ii) using $E_2^* u = u$, $Lu = 0$ and Lemma 9.1.
(iii) Note that by [5, Corollary 9.3] we have $E_i^* A_{i+2} E_2^* u = -E_i^* A_{i-2} E_2^* u - E_i^* A_i E_2^* u$. The result follows from (i), (ii) above. ∎

Corollary 9.3 *With reference to Notation 7.1, assume $\Delta_2 > 0$. Let W denote an irreducible T-module with endpoint 2, and choose $u \in E_2^* W$ which is an eigenvector for LR. Then $LR^{D-2}u \in \mathrm{Span}\{R^{D-3}u\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5(i) and since $E_2^* u = u$, we have $R^{D-2}u = c_1 \cdots c_{D-2} E_D^* A_{D-2} E_2^* u$. By [5, Theorem 9.4(iii)] we have $LE_D^* A_{D-2} u = b_{D-1} E_{D-1}^* A_{D-3} u + R E_{D-2}^* A_D u$. Combining these facts, the result now follows from Corollary 9.2(i),(iii). ∎

Lemma 9.4 *With reference to Notation 7.1, assume $\Delta_2 > 0$. Let W denote an irreducible T-module with endpoint 2 and diameter d. Choose $u \in E_2^* W$ which is an eigenvector for LR. Then the following is a basis for W:*

$$R^i u \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{29}$$

In particular, W is thin.

PROOF. We first show that W is spanned by vectors (29). Let W' denote the subspace of V spanned by vectors (29) and note that $W' \subseteq W$. We claim that W' is T-invariant. Observe that since $RE_j^* V \subseteq E_{j+1}^* V$ for $0 \leq j \leq D-1$ and $RE_D^* V = 0$, W' is invariant under the action of E_j^* for $0 \leq j \leq D$, and so W' is M^*-invariant. By definition W' is invariant under R. Note that by Lemma 9.1 and Corollary 9.3 W' is invariant under L. Since $A = R + L$ and since A generates M, W' is M-invariant. The claim follows. Hence W' is a T-module and it is nonzero since $u \in W'$. By the irreducibility of W we have $W' = W$. Since $E_i^* W \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq d+2$ we have $R^i u \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Observe also that since $RE_j^* V \subseteq E_{j+1}^* V$ for $0 \leq j \leq D-1$ and $RE_D^* V = 0$, we have that $R^i u$ $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ are linearly independent. ∎

Theorem 9.5 *With reference to Notation 7.1 assume $\Delta_2 > 0$. Then Γ has up to isomorphism exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2, and they are both thin.*

PROOF. Note that every irreducible T-module with endpoint 2 is thin by Lemma 9.4. We will now show that up to isomorphism Γ has exactly two irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2. By [12, Theorem 4.2, Lemma 3.7, Theorem 3.8], the set $\Phi_2 = \{\eta_{k+1}, \eta_{k+2}, \ldots, \eta_{k_2}\}$ has at most two elements.

By [5, Theorem 11.7], the set Φ_2 coincides with the set of local eigenvalues of the thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2. By Lemma 3.5, thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 2 are isomorphic if and only if they have the same local eigenvalue. By Lemma 3.4, Φ_2 has exactly two elements, and the result follows. ∎

We now present our main result.

Theorem 9.6 *Let Γ denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with vertex set X, valency $k \geq 3$, and diameter $D \geq 4$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *$\Delta_2 > 0$ and for $2 \leq i \leq D-2$ there exist complex scalars α_i, β_i with the following property: for all $x, y, z \in X$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 2$, $\partial(x,z) = i$, $\partial(y,z) = i$ we have*

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|.$$

(ii) *For all $x \in X$ there exist up to isomorphism exactly two irreducible modules for the Terwilliger algebra $T(x)$ with endpoint two, and these modules are thin.*

PROOF. Immediate from Corollary 6.6 and Theorem 9.5. ∎

10 Scalars α_i and β_i in terms of intersection numbers

With reference to Notation 7.1 assume that the equivalent conditions (i), (ii) of Theorem 9.6 hold. In this section we express scalars α_i and β_i in terms of the intersection numbers of Γ. To do this, we first need the following definition.

Definition 10.1 *With reference to Notation 2.1 and Definition 2.2, for all integers i, j define a vector $w_{ij} = w_{ij}(x, y)$ by*

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{z \in D_j^i} \hat{z}.$$

Observe that $w_{ij} = 0$ unless $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ and $i + j$ is even, and that $\|w_{ij}\|^2 = p_{ij}^2$.
For all integers i define vectors $w_{ii}^+ = w_{ii}^+(x, y)$ and $w_{ii}^- = w_{ii}^-(x, y)$ by

$$w_{ii}^+ = \sum_{z \in D_i^i} |\Gamma_{i-1}(z) \cap D_1^1| \, \hat{z}, \qquad w_{ii}^- = \sum_{z \in D_i^i} |\Gamma(z) \cap D_{i-1}^{i-1}| \, \hat{z}.$$

We observe $w_{ii}^+ = w_{ii}^- = 0$ unless $1 \leq i \leq D$. Furthermore, $w_{11}^+ = w_{11}$, $w_{11}^- = 0$, $w_{DD}^+ = c_2 w_{DD}$, $w_{DD}^- = k w_{DD}$, and $w_{22}^+ = w_{22}^-$.

Theorem 9.6 can now be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 10.2 *Let Γ denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with vertex set X, valency $k \geq 3$, and diameter $D \geq 4$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *$\Delta_2 > 0$ and for $2 \leq i \leq D - 2$ there exist complex scalars α_i, β_i with the following property: for all $x, y \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = 2$, we have*

$$\alpha_i w_{ii} + \beta_i w_{ii}^+ = w_{ii}^-,$$

where vectors $w_{ii}, w_{ii}^+, w_{ii}^-$ are from Definition 10.1.

(ii) *For all $x \in X$ there exist up to isomorphism exactly two irreducible modules for the Terwilliger algebra $T(x)$ with endpoint two, and these modules are thin.*

Lemma 10.3 ([13, Lemma 4.1]) *With reference to Notation 2.1, the following* (i)–(iii) *hold.*

(i) $p_{i-2,i}^2 = p_{i,i-2}^2 = k_i c_i c_{i-1}/(k(k-1)) \quad (2 \leq i \leq D)$;

(ii) $p_{ii}^2 = k_i(c_i(b_{i-1} - 1) + b_i(c_{i+1} - 1))/(k(k-1)) \quad (1 \leq i \leq D-1)$;

(iii) $p_{DD}^2 = k_D(b_{D-1} - 1)/(k-1)$.

Lemma 10.4 ([13, Lemma 7.1]) *With reference to Definition 10.1 the following* (i), (ii) *hold for $2 \leq i \leq D - 1$.*

(i) $\langle w_{ii}^+, w_{ii} \rangle = k_i c_i (b_{i-1} - 1)/k_2$;

(ii) $\|w_{ii}^+\|^2 = k_i c_i (c_2(b_{i-1}-1) - (c_2-1)b_i)/k_2$.

Lemma 10.5 *With reference to Definition 10.1 the following* (i), (ii) *hold for* $2 \leq i \leq D-1$.

(i) $\langle w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}\rangle = c_i k_i (c_i b_{i-1} + c_{i-1} b_i - k)/(k(k-1))$;

(ii) $\langle w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}^+\rangle = k_i c_i (b_i(b_i - b_{i-1}) + c_i(b_{i-1}-1))/k_2$.

PROOF. (i) We first claim that $\langle c_i w_{ii} - w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}\rangle = p^2_{i-1,i+1}(c_{i+1} - c_{i-1})$. To show this observe that $\langle c_i w_{ii} - w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}\rangle = \sum_{z \in D_i^i} |\Gamma(z) \cap D_{i+1}^{i-1}|$. Hence $\langle c_i w_{ii} - w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}\rangle$ is equal to the number of ordered pairs (v,z), where $v \in D_{i+1}^{i-1}$, $z \in D_i^i$, and $\partial(v,z) = 1$. In order to find this number, we fix $v \in D_{i+1}^{i-1}$ and observe $|\Gamma(v) \cap D_i^i| = c_{i+1} - c_{i-1}$. The claim follows since there are exactly $p^2_{i-1,i+1}$ vertices in D_{i+1}^{i-1}. The result now follows from the above claim, Lemma 10.3, and since $\|w_{ii}\|^2 = p^2_{ii}$.

(ii) We first claim that $\langle (b_i - c_i)w_{ii} + w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}^+\rangle = c_2 c_i (p^1_{i+1,i} - p^2_{i+1,i-1})$. To show this observe that $\langle (b_i - c_i)w_{ii} + w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}^+\rangle = \sum_{z \in D_i^i} |\Gamma(z) \cap D_{i+1}^{i+1}||\Gamma_{i-1}(z) \cap D_1^1|$. Hence $\langle (b_i - c_i)w_{ii} + w_{ii}^-, w_{ii}^+\rangle$ is equal to the number of ordered triples (v,z,u), where $v \in D_1^1$, $z \in D_i^i$, $u \in D_{i+1}^{i+1}$, $\partial(v,z) = i-1$ and $\partial(z,u) = 1$. Therefore, $\partial(v,u) = i$. Now pick $v \in D_1^1$ and note that we have c_2 choices for this. Observe that $\Gamma_{i+1}(x) \cap \Gamma_i(v) \subseteq D_{i-1}^{i+1} \cup D_{i+1}^{i+1}$. Moreover, every vertex in D_{i-1}^{i+1} is at distance i from v. Therefore, since u is in D_{i+1}^{i+1} and $\partial(v,u) = i$, we have $p^1_{i+1,i} - p^2_{i+1,i-1}$ choices for u. Finally, for every such pair (v,u) there are exactly c_i vertices z which are at distance $i-1$ from v and at distance 1 from u. Observe that all these vertices must be in D_i^i. This proves the claim. The result now follows from the claim, Lemma 10.4(i), Lemma 10.3 and since $p^1_{i+1,i} = b_i k_i/k$. ∎

Theorem 10.6 *Let* Γ *denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with vertex set* X*, valency* $k \geq 3$*, and diameter* $D \geq 4$*. Assume that the equivalent conditions* (i), (ii) *of Theorem 10.2 hold. Then for* $2 \leq i \leq D-2$*,* $\Delta_i > 0$ *and the following hold:*

$$\alpha_i = \frac{c_i(c_i-1)(b_{i-1}-c_2) - c_i c_{i-1}(b_i-1)(c_2-1)}{c_2 \Delta_i}$$

and

$$\beta_i = \frac{c_i(c_{i+1}-c_i)(b_{i-1}-1) - b_i(c_{i+1}-1)(c_i - c_{i-1})}{c_2 \Delta_i},$$

where scalars Δ_i *are from Definition 3.3.*

PROOF. By Lemma 3.4, $\Delta_i \geq 0$. Suppose $\Delta_i = 0$. Then by [3, Theorem 13], for all $x,y,z \in X$ with $\partial(x,y) = 2$, $\partial(x,z) = i$, $\partial(y,z) = i$, the number $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(x)|$ is independent of x,y,z. By Theorem 5.3, we find (9) is satisfied with $\gamma_i = 0$, which contradicts Theorem 6.5. Hence $\Delta_i > 0$. To obtain the formulae for α_i and β_i, take the inner product of the vector equation in Theorem 10.2 with w_{ii} and w_{ii}^+, and then solve thus obtained system of linear equations using Lemma 10.4 and Lemma 10.5. ∎

References

[1] E. Bannai, and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association schemes*, Benjamin-Cummings Lecture Note 58, Menlo Park, 1984.

[2] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[3] B. Curtin, 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* **187** (1998), 39-70.

[4] B. Curtin, Bipartite distance-regular graphs, Part I, *Graphs Combin.* **15** (1999), 143-158.

[5] B. Curtin, Bipartite distance-regular graphs, Part II, *Graphs Combin.* **15** (1999), 377-391.

[6] B. Curtin, The local structure of a bipartite distance-regular graph, *European J. Combin.* **20** (1999), 739-758.

[7] B. Curtin, Almost 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* **21** (2000), 865-876.

[8] E. Egge, A generalization of the Terwilliger algebra, *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), 213-252.

[9] J. Go, The Terwilliger algebra of the Hypercube, *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 399-429.

[10] M. S. MacLean and P. Terwilliger, Taut distance-regular graphs and the subconstituent algebra, *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006), 1694-1721.

[11] M. S. MacLean and P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of a bipartite distance-regular graph: thin modules with endpoint two, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 1230-1259.

[12] M. S. MacLean, The local eigenvalues of a bipartite distance-regular graph, *European J. Combin.* **45** (2015), 115-123.

[13] Š. Miklavič, On bipartite Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* **28** (2007), 94-110.

[14] K. Nomura, Homogeneous graphs and regular near polygons, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **60** (1994), 63-71.

[15] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme, Part I, *J. Algebr. Combin.* **1** (1992), 363-388.